



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/148,392	09/04/1998	FRANKLIN M. BAEZ	042390.P5512	3309
75	90 07/03/2002			
THINH V NGUYEN BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			THOMSON, WILLIAM D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 i,ii (OLDE)	5.1 / VOID		2123	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PRG	
es cE. to a ion in continued	
later. In no MPEP tension fee ion fee under as set forth in y reduce any	
plifying the	
mendment	
olace the	

		pri				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/148,392	BAEZ, FRANKLIN M.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William D. Thomson	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this applications to the control of the contr	cation. A proper reply to a				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action or (2) the date and for this date and for the second of the second of this Advisory Action or (3) the date and for this date and for the second of this date and for this date.						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the	fee. The appropriate extension fee under				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);	500 110 12 5010W),				
(c) \(\square\) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)·					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the				
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLFLY to issues which were powly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·	,,				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20, 22-29</u>						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:	(3)	·				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner has addressed all arguments and amendments in the 4/9/02 Final Rejection. Applicant has miss-stated sections of the MPEP and attempted to quote sections that are not pertinent and therefore non-persuasive. An example is MPEP 706.2(j) relates to 103 rejections not to 102 rejections, as was the instant case.

OF ATENT UNIT 2123